

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignini 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,484		10/26/2001	Timothy S. December	IN-5350D	1579
26922	26922 7590 06/09/2003			5	
BASF CORPORATION				EXAMINER	
ANNE GERRY SABOURIN 26701 TELEGRAPH ROAD SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034-2442			LOVERING, RICHARD D		
3001HF1E	ELD, MI 4	10034-2442		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1712	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) DECEMBER
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . satute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on APR-14	3,2003
This action is FINAL.	,
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 8	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
∑ Claim(s) [-(8]	is/are rejected.
•	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objection ☐ Incompare ☐	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ring Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ring Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objection is described in the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numerical Code/Serial Code/Serial Numerical Code/Serial Numerical Code/Serial	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interval of the code in the co	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the In*Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been sher) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been sher) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 5

Serial No. 10/038,484

Art Unit 1712

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "(C)" compounds having a plurality of methylol or methylalkoxy groups, does not reasonably provide enablement for "(C)" compounds having a plurality of mercapto groups. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Compounds with mercapto groups create environmental problems or lead to yellowing, which would be unacceptable to applicant. See the specification page 2, lines 20 and 21.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

 Applicant's language as to (C), i.e. "functional groups that are reactive with said carbamate groups" is broad enough to read on mercapto groups. Further, note that this ground of rejection is under Section 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement, not under the second paragraph of Section 112 as argued by applicant.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Serial No. 10/038,484

Art Unit 1712

The following title is suggested: CATHODIC ELECTRODEPOSITION METHOD.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Serial No. 10/038,484

Art Unit 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc June 9, 2003

RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1809 | 700